



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/686,125	10/10/2000	Awele Ndili	24286-705	4295
21971 7	7590 07/08/2003			
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI 650 PAGE MILL ROAD PALO ALTO, CA 943041050			EXAMINER	
			VU, THONG H	
PALO ALTO,	CA 943041030			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2142	-
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/686,125	NDILI, AWELE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thong H Vu	2142				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>10 0</u>	October 2000 .					
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	5) Notice of Inform	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)  Office Ac	ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 7				

Application/Control Number: 09/686,125 Page 2

Art Unit: 2142

1. Claims 1-26 are pending.

- 2. Claims 1-20,24-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Schwartz et al [Schwartz 5,327,559]
- 3. As per claim 1, Schwartz discloses a system for exchanging communications between a mobile device and a network site [Schwartz Fig 1], the system comprising:

a conversion engine [link server with converter 318, Schwartz Fig 3A] coupleable to a mobile device to accept a request for a content from a network site, the request being signaled from the mobile device in a first language (HDML) and the content being structured in a second language (cHTML), the conversion engine being coupleable to the network site to retrieve the content from the network site in response to receiving the request, the conversion engine including logic to convert the content from the second language to the first language and signaling the content to be rendered on the mobile device [Schwartz col 8 lines 46-67, col 9 lines 15-40, col 10 lines 3-17, col 11 lines 15-40, col 12 lines 31-68, col 13 lines 25-38, col 14 line 59-col 15 line 8],

It is clearly that the first language (HDML) provides on the mobile device for a single input entry per page rendered from the network site [see specification page 17 line 17-page 18 line 3], and the second language (cHTML) allows for multiple input entries per page rendered from the network site [see specification page 18 lines 4-7].

4. Claims 14,26 contain the similar limitations set forth of method claim 1.

Therefore, claims 14,26 are rejected for the similar rationale set forth in claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 09/686,125

Art Unit: 2142

5. As per claim 2, Schwartz discloses the conversion engine identifies one or more input entries at the network site, and signals the input entries as selectable links to the mobile device [col 19 line 49-col 20 line 5].

Page 3

- 6. As per claim 3, Schwartz discloses the conversion engine locates another network site for the mobile device in response to a user of the mobile device selecting each of the one or more input entries [col 16 lines 30-col 17 line 50].
- 7. As per claims 4,8 Schwartz discloses the conversion engine creates a virtual network site in response to a user of the mobile device selecting each of the one or more input entries [HDML cards col 11 line 49-col 12 line 67].
- 8. As per claim 5, Schwartz discloses the conversion engine identifies a text entry field on the network site [col 11 line 65-col 12 line 14], and converts the text entry field to a selectable link to a virtual network site created by the conversion engine, the virtual network site for the text entry field providing a corresponding text entry field for the mobile device [col 12 lines 31-67].
- 9. As per claim 6, Schwartz discloses the conversion engine identifies a menu item on the network site, the menu item including a plurality of menu choices, the conversion engine converting the text entry field to a selectable link to a virtual network site created by the conversion engine, the virtual network site for the menu item displaying a link for each menu choice in the menu item [col 13 lines 39-63]
- 10. As per claim 7, Schwartz discloses the conversion engine identifies a radio button on the network site, the radio button being selectable to enter a Boolean selection, the conversion engine converting the radio button into a selectable link to a

Art Unit: 2142

virtual network site created by the network site, the virtual network site for radio button displaying a link for each Boolean value of the radio button as inherent feature of button [col 13 lines 12-38, col16 lines 52-65].

- 11. As per claims 9,24 Schwartz discloses the first language is a version of a Handheld Device Markup Language (HDML), and the second language is a version of Hypertext Markup Language (HTML) [col 23 lines 22-28].
- 12. As per claims 10,25 Schwartz discloses the second language is a version of Compact HTML (CHTML)[col 10 lines 3-17].
- 13. As per claim 11, Schwartz discloses the conversion engine identifies an internal link on the network site, the internal link on the network site locating a second network site [link server col 3 lines 37-55].
- 14. As per claim 12, Schwartz discloses the conversion engine formats the internal link and includes the formatted internal links in the content signaled to the mobile device, the formatted internal links being selectable on the mobile device to generate a second request for the second network site **without** the content engine converting the second request to the second language as inherent feature of link server. It is obvious that the link server connected to a network server, which provides the same language as the content of the mobile device request, and therefore the converting engine of link server does not have to do anything.
- 15. As per claim 13, Schwartz discloses the conversion engine includes a conversion engine that is coupleable to a database, the database including an instruction set for the mobile device, the instruction set being accessible by the conversion engine to convert

Art Unit: 2142

the request from the mobile device and the content retrieved from the network site [col 8 lines 33-42].

- 16. As per claim 15, Schwartz discloses converting the request from the mobile device from the first language to the second language [col 8 lines 46-67].
- 17. As per claim 16, Schwartz discloses converting the content retrieved from the network site from the second language to the first language [col 8 lines 46-67].
- 18. As per claim 17, Schwartz discloses retrieving content from the network site includes identifying an internal link on the network site [link server col 3 lines 37-55].
- 19. As per claim 18, Schwartz discloses formatting the internal link to be selectable on the mobile device to generate a second request, the mobile device being able to generate the second request to be communicable with the network site using the second language [col 8 lines 46-67].
- 20. As per claim 19, Schwartz discloses retrieving a content from the network site includes identifying one or more input entries on the network site [col 8 lines 46-67].
- 21. As per claim 20, Schwartz discloses formatting (converting) the input entries to appear as selectable links on the mobile device [col 8 lines 46-67].
- 22. Claims 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Schwartz et al [Schwartz 5,327,559] in view of Jamtgaard et al [Jamtgaard 6,430,624 B1]
- 23. As per claim 21, Schwartz did not discloses creating a network page for receiving an input entry upon one of the selectable links of the input entries being selected.

Application/Control Number: 09/686,125

Art Unit: 2142

Jamtgaard discloses a system permits internet content providers to create a single piece of content that is reformatted automatically for the different appliances [Jamtgaard abstract] including creating new pages [Jamtgaard col 14 lines 50-60]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate technique of creating a new network page as taught by Jamtgaard into the Schwartz's apparatus in order to take advance the link server capability. Doing so would provide a dynamic and reliable service to different wireless devices with different markup languages.

Page 6

- 24. As per claim 22, Schwartz- Jamtgaard disclose creating the network page is in response to a user of the mobile device selecting a link to enter input entries [Jamtgaard col 14 lines 50-60]
- 25. As per claim 23, Schwartz- Jamtgaard disclose signaling an input entered onto the network page created by the conversion engine to the network site to be received as input [Jamtgaard col 14 lines 50-60]

Application/Control Number: 09/686,125 Page 7

Art Unit: 2142

26. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Thong Vu, whose telephone number is (703)-305-4643.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00AM- 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Powell, can be reached at (703) 305-9703.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9700.

Any response to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231 or faxed to:

After Final (703) 746-7238 Official: (703) 746-7239 Non-Official (703) 746-7240

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 11,2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Thong Vu Patent Examiner Art Unit 2142

Mu